SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR)	K
DAVID FLOYD, et al.,	08 Civ. 01034 (SAS)
Plaintiffs,	
-against-	DECLARATION
THE CITY OF NEW YORK, et al.,	

Defendants.

## DECLARATION OF DAVID OURLICHT IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR STAY

- I, David Ourlicht, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and subject to the penalties of perjury, declare that the following is true and correct:
- I submit this affidavit in support of Plaintiffs' opposition to City of New York's motion seeking to stay this Court's Opinion and Order of August 12, 2013 regarding Remedies (the "Remedies Order").
- 2. I am one of the named plaintiffs in this action. My racial background is black and white; my father is black, and my mother is white.
- 3. As I testified at the trial of this action, as of that time I had been stopped three times by officers of the New York Police Department ("NYPD"). As the Court found in its Liability Decision, on at least one of those occasions the officer who stopped me lacked any basis for reasonable particularized suspicion that I was committing a crime. (Liability Decision at 148). The Court also found that the officers who frisked and searched me had no reasonable basis to believe that I was armed and dangerous. *Id.* at 148-49.

- 4. Since I testified at trial, I was stopped by NYPD officers a fourth time under circumstances that strongly suggest that the stop was illegal. On August 25, 2013, I was stopped at the corner of 170th Street and Grand Concourse, in the Bronx, by three officers who falsely claimed that I had been shouting. The badge numbers of the three officers who stopped me are 5729, 24350 and 239. During this encounter an NYPD paddy wagon appeared. One of the three officers who initially stopped me then gave me a summons for "Dis Con [which I assume means "Disorderly Conduct"] unreasonable noise". A copy of the summons is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The officer who gave me the summons told me at the time that the only reason why she was giving it to me is because her sergeant who had not witnessed the conduct in which I allegedly engaged and on which the officer's decision to stop me was supposedly based instructed her to do so. This female officer also told me that if I contested the summons I would win.
- 5. On none of the four occasions on which I was stopped by NYPD was I committing or about to commit any crime or violation. On each occasion I felt humiliated, and that the NYPD did not want me to be on the streets of New York. On the basis of my experiences, and the NYPD stop and frisk encounters many of my friends and acquaintances, all members of the class I represent, as well as the experiences of the class members who testified at trial, I am distrustful and fearful of the NYPD. I also felt scared and helpless each time I was stopped. NYPD officers have guns and a lot of power.
- 6. I chose to serve as a named plaintiff in this lawsuit because I want these kinds of humiliating and illegal encounters to stop happening to me, my friends and loved ones, and other people of color across New York City. I believe that the remedies ordered by the Court, including the retraining of officers about when it is legal to stop and when it is legal to frisk a

person, as well as the Joint Remedy Process, will achieve this goal and increase community trust of the police in the long term. However, until real changes to the NYPD's stop-and-frisk policies and practices are fully developed and implemented, I will continue to feel that every time I am on the streets of New York, I am in danger of being stopped by NYPD officers on the basis of my race and without regard to whether I am committing or about to commit any crime or violation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: September 5, 2013

## **EXHIBIT A**

Case 1:08-cv-01034-SAS-HBP Document 350-1 Filed 03/06 73 Page 5 of the State of New York V.	7
Name (Last, First, MI)	
Street Address Ave Apr No.	
City State Zip Code	
ID/License Number State Type/Class Expires (mm/da/yi) Sex	
- Passport of 15/12 11/1	-
Date of Birth (mm/dd/yy) Ht Wt Gyes Hair Plate/Reg	**
Reg State Expires (num/dd/yy) Plate Type Web Type Make Year Color	1
The Person Described Above is Charged as Follows:	
Time 24 Hour (hh:mm) Date of Officase (mm/dd/yr) County	3
0210 108/25/13 1 8 Preside	7
Place of Occurrence	6
In Violation of Subsection VII Admin. Penal Park Other Rules	
Section (Code Rules Rules	+
Title of Offense: Un very Sunable in Dise	
Bronx Criminal Court - 215 E 161* Street, Bronx, NY 10451	
Kings Criminal Court - 346 Broadway, New York, NY 10013	-
Redhook Community Justice Center - 88-94 Visitation Place, Brooklyn, NY 1123	1
New York Criminal Court - 346 Broadway, New York, NY 10013	000
Midtown Community Court - 314 W 54th Street, New York, NY 10019	7
Queens Criminal Court - 120-55 Queens Boulevard, Kew Gardens, NY 11416.	
Richmond Criminal Court - 67 Targee Street, Staten Island, NY 10304	
Defendant stated in my presence (in substance):	1
The same of the sa	
I personally observed the commission of the offense charged herein. Palse statements made here are punishable to the commission of the offense charged herein. Palse statements made here are punishable to the commission of the offense charged herein.	ble
I personally observed the commission of the oriented that the commission of the oriented that Law, Affirmed under penalty of law.  as a Class A Misdemeanor pursuant to section 210.45 of the Penal Law, Affirmed under penalty of law.	್ಷ
Complainant's Full Name Printed Rank/Full Signature of Complainant Date Affirmed	
What Poster Poster	5
Tax Reput Code	
N470 7 9530 3 7 5 04 0	
The person described above is sommoned to appear at 150°C Cristinal Court. Summons Part Court	aty
located to the second of the s	-
Date of Appearance (Ministative)	-
X- 2117785	
The second secon	- 1
DEFENDANT'S COPY	1000